

Joint Action: Which forms of shared agency underpin our social nature?

University of Warwick, Autumn Term 2015–6
<s.butterfill@warwick.ac.uk>

Outline

Shared agency is a familiar feature of everyday life: people move tables together, walk together, play piano duets and paint houses together. Until recently philosophers of mind and action, like many cognitive and developmental psychologists, have focussed on the case of an individual acting alone and ignored the fact that people often do things together. How must models of individual agency be revised or extended to accommodate shared agency? What distinguishes events that involve shared agency from events that do not? Which planning mechanisms enable us to coordinate our plans and actions, and what if anything do these mechanisms tell us about the nature of shared agency? Does the existence of shared agency entail that there are mental states with plural subjects, or that there are special kinds of mental state (so-called ‘we-intentions’), or special kinds of reasoning (‘team reasoning’)? How, if at all, can we make sense of the idea that states like knowledge or intention can be shared by two or more subjects? What kinds of commitment, if any, are required for shared agency? When does shared agency first appear in human development? What role might it play in facilitating development? Should reflection of the motor or perceptual processes which enable us to coordinate our actions inform theories about what shared agency is?

Reading

Alonso, F. M. (2009). Shared intention, reliance, and interpersonal obligations. *Ethics*, 119(3):444–475

-
1. *Theoretical Foundations: Collective Goals, Cooperation, Commitment and Experience*
 2. *Bratman's Theory of Shared Agency*
 3. *Nonreductive Theories of Shared Agency*
 4. *Psychological Foundations: Motor Representation in Joint Action*
 5. *Team Reasoning*
 6. *Joint Action and Development*
 7. *Bees, Shoals and Crowds*
 8. *Co-Representation*
 9. *A Blueprint for a Social Animal*
-

Table 1: Very provisional schedule of lectures

Bratman, M. E. (1992). Shared cooperative activity. *The Philosophical Review*, 101(2):327–341

Bratman, M. E. (forthcoming 2012). *A Theory of Shared Agency*

Butterfill, S. (2012). Joint action and development. *Philosophical Quarterly*, 62(246):23–47

Gilbert, M. P. (1990). Walking together: A paradigmatic social phenomenon. *Midwest Studies in Philosophy*, 15:1–14

Knoblich, G., Butterfill, S., and Sebanz, N. (2011). Psychological research on joint action: Theory and data. In Ross, B., editor, *Psychology of Learning and Motivation*, volume 51. Academic Press

Kourtis, D., Knoblich, G., Woźniak, M., and Sebanz, N. (2014). Attention Allocation and Task Representation during Joint Action Planning. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 26(10):2275–2286

Linnebo, Ø. (2005). Plural quantification. In Zalta, E. N., editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Spring 2005 Edition)*. CSLI, Stanford, CA

Pacherie, E. (2012). Intentional joint agency: Shared intention lite. *Synthese*, forthcoming

Roth, A. S. (2010). Shared agency. In Zalta, E. N., editor, *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Fall 2001 Edition)*

Searle, J. R. (1994). *The Construction of Social Reality*. The Free Press, New York

Sebanz, N., Bekkering, H., and Knoblich, G. (2006). Joint action: Bodies and mind moving together. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(2):70–76

Sugden, R. (2000). Team preferences. *Economics and Philosophy*, 16:175–204

Tomasello, M. and Rakoczy, H. (2003). What makes human cognition unique? from individual to shared to collective intentionality. *Mind and Language*, 18(2):121–147

Vesper, C., Knoblich, G., and Sebanz, N. (2014). Our actions in my mind: Motor imagery of joint action. *Neuropsychologia*, 55:115–121